



Progress Status on Quanta Image Sensors (QIS)

Eric R. Fossum

July 7, 2020







Progress Status on Quanta Image Sensors (QIS)

(Not much progress since SPW Milan Oct 2019)

Eric R. Fossum

July 7, 2020



Contributors to this progress review

- Dr. Jiaju Ma Dartmouth → Gigajot
- Dr. Saleh Masoodian Dartmouth \rightarrow Gigajot
- Dr. Dakota Starkey Dartmouth \rightarrow Gigajot
- Mr. Wei Deng Dartmouth
- Ms. Kaitlin Anagnost Dartmouth
- Prof. Stanley Chan Purdue
- Mr. Abhiram Gnanasambandam Purdue + Gigajot
- Dr. Omar Elgendy Purdue \rightarrow Gigajot
- EF Dartmouth and Gigajot
- Rambus, TSMC, and also DARPA, NASA/JPL, NASA/RIT, Goodix



DARTMOUTH





n.b. review data includes data taken by different people, different methods, different devices

Quanta Image Sensor (QIS) "Count Every Photon"



Image reconstruction X-Y-t Bit Density → Gray Scale



Cubicle

Vision: A billion jots readout at 1000 fps (1Tb/s) with single photon-counting capability and consuming less than a watt.

Imaging Paradigm Shift

- Might seem like a lot of extra work compared to an integrating bucket of charge in conventional CMOS image sensors (CIS).
- Counting every (visible) photon is about as sensitive as one can get for photography, security, defense, space, etc.
- Helps with small pixel vs. full-well capacity trade off.
- Allows new capabilities in computational imaging such as:
 - Trade off in sensitivity and resolution that can be scenedependent or attention-dependent.
 - Permits time-delay and integration in multiple independent tracks and arbitrary directions.
 - Allows motion blur compensation for multiple targets.
 - Allows high apparent SNR for very low photon flux.

QIS Brief Timeline



Photon and photoelectron arrival rate described by Poisson process

Define *quanta exposure* $H = \phi \tau$ H = 1 means expect 1 arrival on average.



For jot, only two states of interest $P[0] = e^{-H}$ $P[k > 0] = 1 - P[0] = 1 - e^{-H}$

For ensemble of *M* jots, the expected number of 1's : $M_1 = M \cdot P[k > 0]$

Photoresponse as bit density





QIS responds to light

QIS D – log H



Bit Density vs. Exposure

QIS responds to light like film

QIS D – log H

Film D – log H



Bit Density vs. Exposure

Film Density vs. Exposure 1890 Hurter and Driffield

http://faculty.virginia.edu/ASTR5 110/lectures/detectors/detector s_intro.html

Our approach (CMOS QIS)

No avalanche multiplication, one electron per photon Use very low capacitance sense node $\Delta V = \Delta Q \ / \ C$

e.g. $1mV = 1.6x10^{-19}C / 160aF$



Conversion gain CG defined as q/C or volts/electron

Voltage Output with No Electronics Noise Poisson probability mass function $P[k] = \frac{e^{-H}H^k}{k!}, k = 0, 1, 2, 3 ...$



Without additive noise, voltage output should be very quantized

Broadened by 0.12e- rms read noise

 $U_n = V_n / CG$ [e-rms]



Broadened by 0.25e- rms read noise



Quantized Values Broadened by Readout Noise



Bit error rate (BER) depends strongly on read noise



Effect of Read Noise on Photon Counting Accuracy



Quanta exposure is the avg. # of photons or photoelectrons that arrive per integration period

Multi-bit jot increases flux capacity







Single bit jot 0, 1 electrons Multi-bit (2b) jot 0, 1, 2, 3 electrons

→ Can increase flux capacity at same jot density and field readout rate
 → Or, relax field readout rate and/or jot density for same flux capacity

Little impact on detector and storage well. Little impact on FD CG or voltage swing (e.g. 1mV/e -> 31mV swing for 5b jot.

Multi-bit QIS for Photon Number Resolution (e.g. 2-bit)



"00" "01" "10" "11"

Signal and Noise for Multi-bit QIS



Noise Requirement for Photon Counting



High Dynamic Range with 1b QIS

16x16x16 cubicle



High Dynamic Range with 1b & 3b QIS



- $15 \times 2 \times 2$
- $15 \times 2 \times 2$
- $15 \times 2 \times 2$
- proposed algorithm

Figure 5. Real Data. In this experiment, we obtain 15 frames each at 3 different exposures - $75\mu s$, $500\mu s$, and $1100\mu s$ in 1 bit and 3 bit modes using a 1 Mpixel QIS image sensor. The average number of photons per pixel per frame are 0.5, 2.1 and 3.3 for the 3 exposures respectively. Spatial oversampling of 2×2 is used. The proposed HDR reconstruction algorithm is used to obtain the final HDR image. MATLAB's tonemap is used to display the images. The first column are images before tone-map.

Gnanasambandam, Ma and Chan 2019

https://www.imagesensors.org/Past%20Workshops/2019%20Workshop/2019%20Papers/R23.pdf

Pump-Gate Jot: Minimize TG-FD overlap capacitance Highest possible CG US Patent No. 9,728,565 B2 (Lowest possible cap.) Fossum, Ma, Hondongwa ΤG FD SW Potential(V) 3 **TG OFF TG OFF TG ON** 0 vertical lateral JOT -TG ON TG OFF VAA Electrostatic Potential(V) SW PW__VB PB FD TG RST BSI SF FD SUB COI 3

Recall our Poisson probability mass function broadened by read noise



Experimental Data Photon Counting Histogram for "a Golden Pixel"

20k reads of same jot, 0.175e- rms read noise ~21DN/e- (61.2uV rms 350uV/e- or 0.45fF) Room temperature, no avalanche, 20 CMS cycles, jot:TPG PTR BC



Model vs. Data = New characterization tools



Experimental Data Photon Counting Histograms

20k reads of same jot, 0.20e- rms read noise ~21DN/e-Room temperature, no avalanche, 20 CMS cycles, jot:TPG PTR BC



Quantum Efficiency



Lag



Very Low Dark Current (0.07 cps at RT)

Room Temp: ~0.07e-/s avg. (~1pA/cm²) Previously measured ~2x every 10C



Storage well isolated from surface





Cooling to -70C Reduces Read Noise and Improves CG



Noise in first transistor is critical



1/f Noise Modelling Review

- Hooge's mobility fluctuation model Phonon-scattering-induced mobility fluctuation.
- McWhorter's number fluctuation model

Carrier number fluctuation, interface traps.

Berkeley unified model Number fluctuation and the correlated mobility fluctuation at surface Empirical and bulk



```
1e- trapped at Si-SiO<sub>2</sub>
equiv. to 13e- on gate!
```

```
At 0.14x0.27um gate area, expect 1-5 traps
per MOSFET if N_{IT} \cong 1x10^{10} \text{ traps/cm}^2
```

1/f Noise versus CDS, Bias Current, SF Size, and Temperature and Model (mostly Hooge)



Deng et al, 2019

Physical Origin of Noise Discussion



- Changing SF transistor type and size changes 1/f noise
- Number fluctuation? almost no traps, and RTN huge
- Mobility fluctuation? ~< 10 psec transit time, ballistic?
- Once carriers enter SF at source, how is Vout impacted?
- What mechanism gives rise to microsecond fluctuations?
- Why sharp cutoff of noise histogram at lower "bound?"

20 Mpixel single-photon detector array

- Process technology: TSMC CMOS BSI 45nm/65nm 2-layer stacking
- Cluster parallel readout architecture for low power and modularity
- 20 different 1Mpixel arrays on test chip
- Readout Variation:
 - Analog
 - Single-bit Digital
- Pixel: 1.1µm 1024x1024
- Pixel variation: TPG, PTR, JFET





20 Mpixel single photon detector array

Summary of Measured Results 1Mpixel 1b QIS Digital Subarray at Room Temp

| Process | 45nm (jot layer), | Equiv. PD Dead Time | | <0.1% |
|------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------|---------------------|
| FIULESS | 65nm (ASIC layer) | Array | | 1024 (H) x 1024 (V) |
| | 1.8V & 2.5V | Field rate | | 1040fps |
| VDD | (Analog, digital and | ADC sampling rate | | 4MSa/s |
| | array), 3V & 2.2V | ADC resolution | | 1 bit |
| | (I/O pads) | | | 32 (output pins) x |
| Jot type | BSI Tapered Pump | Output data rate | | 34Mb/s |
| | Gate | | | = 1090Mb/s |
| | 2-Way Shared RO | Package | | PGA with 224 pins |
| Jot pitch | 1.1µm | | Array | 2.3mW |
| BSI Fill Factor | ~100% | Power | 256 ADCs | 7.5mW |
| Quantum Efficiency | 79% @ 550nm | | Addressing | 4.1mW |
| Conversion gain on | 345µV/e- | | I/O pads | 3.7mW |
| column | | | Total | 17.6mW |
| Input Referred Noise | 0.22e- r.m.s. | FOM ADC | | 6.9pJ/b |
| Corresponding BER | ~1% | | | |
| Avg. Dark current (RT) | 0.16e-/s | | | |
| Equiv. Dark Count Rate | 0.07Hz/jot | | | |

1Mjot prototype QIS experimental results











Output





Gigajot spinoff (2017)





Saleh Masoodian

Jiaju Ma

QIS great for low light, high resolution imaging and photon-number resolving systems

- Security systems
- Low light vision •
- Internet of things (IOT) •
- **Biological imaging** •
- Astronomy
- Quantum Cryptography •
- Photography
- Cinematography





40

1Mpixel 3b QIS Image Exposure of 0.87e-/pixel average



Raw image and Histogram







2x2x2 cubicle sum only



2x2x2 cubicle denoise



Single Photon Avalanche Detectors (SPADS)



Photon-Counting Arrays for Time-Resolved Imaging

by I. Michel Antolovic, Samuel Burri, Ron A. Hoebe, Yuki Maruyama, Claudio Bruschini and Edoardo Charbon Sensors 2016, 16(7), 1005; doi:10.3390/s16071005

Room temperature

Issues with SPADs for QIS application

SPADs use avalanche multiplication for gain

- High internal electric fields
- Higher operating voltages (15-20V)
- Larger pixels (8-25um)
- High dark count rates (100-1000Hz)
- Dead time
- Low fill factor (low PDE <50%)
- Low manufacturing yield
- Small array sizes (below 0.1M jots)

But, SPADS are excellent for time resolved photon detection

"1/2 Mpix" SPAD-QIS by Canon



| Process | 180 nm CMOS | | |
|------------------------------|--------------------|--|--|
| technology | | | |
| Chip size (mm ²) | 11×11 | | |
| Sensor resolution | 1024×1000 | | |
| Pixel size (µm) | 9.4 | | |
| Fill factor (%) | 7.0/13.4 | | |
| Pixel output bit | 1b | | |
| depth | | | |
| No. of pixel | 7/5.75 | | |
| transistors | | | |
| Median DCR | 0.4/2.0 | | |
| (cps) | $(V_{ex} = 3.3 V)$ | | |
| Max. PDP (%) | 10.5/26.7 | | |
| | $(V_{ex} = 3.3 V)$ | | |
| Max. PDE (%) | 0.7/3.6 | | |
| | $(V_{ex} = 3.3 V)$ | | |
| Cross talk (%) | 0.17/0.39 | | |
| | $(V_{ex} = 3.3 V)$ | | |
| Min. gate length | 3.8 | | |
| (ns) | | | |
| Frame rate (fps) | 24,000 (1b) | | |
| Power dissipation | 0.284/0.535 | | |
| (W) | | | |

This Work (Pixel

A/B)

11mm

Kazuhiro Morimoto, Andrei Ardelean, Ming-Lo Wu, Arin Can Ulku, Ivan Michel Antolovic, Claudio Bruschini, and Edoardo Charbon, "Megapixel time-gated SPAD image sensor for 2D and 3D imaging applications," Optica 7, 346-354 (2020)

Comparison

| Metric | CIS-QIS Actual to date | CIS-QIS Estimated +3 years | SPAD-QIS Actual to date | SPAD-QIS Estimated +3 years |
|-----------------|------------------------------|----------------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Pixel Size | 1.1um | 1.1-10um | 9.4um | 3um |
| Array Size | 20x1Mpix | >100Mpix | 2x(1/2)Mpix | 1-10Mpix |
| 1Mpix size | 1.2mm ² | 1.2mm ² | 121.0mm ² | 9mm ² |
| Fill Factor | >90% | >90% | 13% | >13% |
| PDE | >70% | >70% | 3.6% | >3.6% |
| Frame Rate | 1000fps | ~1000fps | 24,000fps | 24,000fps |
| Read Noise | 0.22e- rms | <0.22e- rms | <0.15e- rms | <0.15e- rms |
| Multibit | Yes (slower) | Yes (fast) | No | No |
| Flux Capacity | 0.8ke-/s/um ² | 800ke-/s/um ² | 0.3ke-/s/um ² | 3ke-/s/um ² |
| Dark Current | <0.1 e-/s | <0.1e-/s | 2.0e-/s | >2.0e-/s |
| Power | 20mW 1b | <200mW 1b | 535mW 1b | >535mW 1b |
| Color | Yes | Yes | No | Yes |
| Estimates by EF | CIS-QIS based on Gigaj | ot publications | SPAD-QIS based on Car | non/EPFL publications |

Standard Pipeline



Color Progress

1Mpix, 1x1x1 cubicle

Average light 1.8e- /pixel

Neural Network





© Gigajot Technology, Inc.

Average light 0.7e- /pixel







Image Classification in the Dark using Quanta Image Sensors

Abhiram Gnanasambandam, and Stanley H. Chan, Senior Member, IEEE



Fig. 10. **Real Image Results**. This figure shows raw Bayer data obtained from a prototype QIS and commercially available CIS, and how they are classified using our proposed classifier. The inset images show the denoised images (by [42]) for visualization. Notice the heavy noise at 0.25 and 0.5 ppp, only QIS plus our proposed classification method can produce the correct prediction. https://arxiv.org/pdf/2006.02026.pdf

Quanta Burst Photography

SIZHUO MA and SHANTANU GUPTA, University of Wisconsin-Madison, USA ARIN C. ULKU, CLAUDIO BRUSCHINI, and EDOARDO CHARBON, EPFL, Switzerland MOHIT GUPTA, University of Wisconsin-Madison, USA



Fig. 8. **Performance for different types of camera motion.** We simulate four different types of motion for the same scene: rotation around y-axis, rotation around z-axis, translation along z-axis and a random 6 degrees-of-freedom (DoF) trajectory. In all cases, the proposed algorithm is able to align the binary images and generate high-quality images.

Graduate Student Group at Dartmouth



L-R: Song Chen, Saleh Masoodian, Rachel Zizza, Zhaoyang Yin, Donald Hondongwa, Wei Deng, Dakota Starkey, Eric Fossum, Jiaju Ma, Leo Anzagira, Kaitlin Anagnost (not pictured: Xin Yue)